

Reading seminar on ∞ -categories

1. Colimits

Definition 1.1: Let $\text{flip} : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ be the functor constant on objects and mapping d_i to d_{n-i} in dimension n , analogously for the degeneracy map.

For a simplicial set $S : \Delta^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$, the opposite simplicial set is the composite $S \circ \text{flip}$.

Using this, we can cheat in defining colimits if we know the definition of limits.

Definition 1.2: For an ∞ -category C , a colimit in C is a limit in C^{op} .

Explicitly, a cone under $F : I \rightarrow C$ is a pair (ℓ, η) where $\ell \in C$ and $\eta : F \rightarrow \underline{\ell}$ is a natural transformation. We then require the following homotopy equivalence for each $c \in C$

$$\text{Map}_C(\ell, c) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{C^I}(F, \underline{c})$$

Example: $\ell \in C$ is *initial* if $\text{Map}_C(\ell, c)$ is contractible for each $c \in C$. The coproduct is characterized by $\text{Map}_C(\sqcup_{i \in I} F(i), c) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Map}_C(F(i), c)$.

Definition 1.3: We say a simplicial set is *finite* if it has finitely many non-degenerate simplices.

Theorem 1.1: If C admits pushouts and finite coproducts, then C admits all finite colimits.

Proof sketch: Suppose we have a functor $F : I \rightarrow C$. Consider the skeletal filtration

$$I_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n = I$$

with the simplicial set I_k containing non-degenerate simplices of dimension at most k . We do induction on n . $n = 0$ amounts to coproducts. For the inductive step, we have the following pushout (of attaching n -cells) in the simplicial sets

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqcup \partial \Delta_n & \longrightarrow & I_{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \sqcup \Delta_n & \longrightarrow & I_n \end{array}$$

By the inductive assumption, F restricted to the objects in the top row has a colimit in C . The colimits over Δ_n always exist since it has a terminal object. It turns out that in this case, the pushout of these 3 colimits is actually the colimit of F . \square

Remark: Generalizing this argument, one can show that if C admits pushouts and all coproducts, then it admits all colimits.

2. Alternative definitions

Here we do just a brief survey of alternative definitions of (co)limits found in the literature.

Definition 2.1: For simplicial sets S, T , define their join $S \star T$ by

$$(S \star T)_n := S_n \sqcup \bigsqcup_{i+j=n+1} S_i \times T_j \sqcup T_n$$

where the components in the disjoint union correspond to cuts of the linearly ordered set $0 < \dots < n$ (with S_n , resp. T_n corresponding to the cut below, resp. above). The images of morphisms of Δ are induced by their maps on cuts.

For a simplicial set I , the simplicial set $I^{\triangleleft} = \Delta_0 \star I$ is called the *left cone* on I and the simplicial set $I^{\triangleleft} := I \star \Delta_0$ is called the *right cone* on I .

Definition 2.2: For $F : I \rightarrow C$ a map of simplicial sets, define the slice over F , denoted $C_{/F}$ as the simplicial set with the universal property for each $K \in \mathbf{SSet}$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SSet}}(K, C_{/F}) = \mathrm{Hom}_F(K \star I, C)$$

where on the right hand side, we take only the maps which restrict to F on I .

Similarly, define the slice under F , denoted $C_{F/}$ via the universal property for each $K \in \mathbf{SSet}$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{SSet}}(K, C_{F/}) = \mathrm{Hom}_F(I \star K, C)$$

Remark: If C is an ∞ -category, then so are $C_{/F}$ and $C_{F/}$.

Proposition 2.1: From a cone (\mathcal{L}, η) (our previous definition) over a functor $F : I \rightarrow C$, we can define a functor $\tilde{F} : I^{\triangleleft} \rightarrow C$ and an element $\tilde{\eta} \in C_{/F}$ such that the following are equivalent:

- (\mathcal{L}, η) is a limit cone over F
- restriction $I \hookrightarrow I^{\triangleleft}$ induces a homotopy equivalence for every $c \in C$

$$\mathrm{Map}_{C^{I^{\triangleleft}}}(\mathcal{L}, \tilde{F}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{C^I}(\mathcal{L}, F)$$

- $\tilde{\eta}$ is the terminal object of $C_{/F}$

3. Adjunctions

Definition 3.1: For C, D ∞ -categories, and adjunction is a pair of functors $f : C \rightarrow D$, $g : D \rightarrow C$, along with the unit $\eta : \mathrm{id}_C \rightarrow gf$ and the counit $\varepsilon : fg \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_D$ transformations satisfying the triangle identities, meaning there are the following simplices in $\mathrm{Fun}(C, D)$, resp. $\mathrm{Fun}(D, C)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & f \\ & \searrow \eta \quad \nearrow \varepsilon & \\ & fgf & \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} g & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} & g \\ & \searrow \eta \quad \nearrow \varepsilon & \\ & gfg & \end{array}$$